IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 111 OF 2004

Shri Murlidhar Baban Pusate Applicant

Vs.

Mr.sShardha Murlidhar Pusate Respondent

CORAM: B.H.MARLAPALLE,J.
August 12, 2005.

P.C.

. In M.P.No.103 of 1998 an order was passed on 5-5-2001 on an application at Exhibit 11 directing the petitioner-husband to pay an amount of Rs.5000/- per month to the respondent-wife towards maintenance for herself and her daughter from the date of the application and at that time the petitioner was employed under the Reserve Bank of India. He opted for voluntary retirement with effect from 30-9-2003 and from 1-10-2003 he ceased to draw any monthly salary as an employee of the RBI. He claimed that in the month of November 2003 he received an amount of Rs.1352/- by way of pension and, therefore, filed an application at Exhibit 31 for reduction of the maintenance amount directed to be paid by him. This application at Exhibit 31 has been rejected by the

impugned order dated 6-3-2004. This being an order of interlocutory nature the civil revision application is not tenable.

. The CRA is, therefore, disposed as not tenable with liberty to the petitioner as is available in law.

(B.H.MARLAPALLE,J.)